

**PATENT APPLICATION**

**RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR §1.116  
EXPEDITED PROCEDURE  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER ART UNIT 2879**

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of

Shohei FUJISAWA

Group Art Unit: 2879

Application No.: 10/849,880

Examiner: P. MACCHIAROLO

Filed: May 21, 2004

Docket No.: 119822

For: LIGHT SOURCE UNIT, METHOD OF MANUFACTURING LIGHT SOURCE UNIT,  
AND PROJECTOR

**REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION AFTER FINAL REJECTION**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In reply to the August 14, 2006 Office Action, reconsideration of the rejection is respectfully requested in light of the following remarks.

Claims 1-19 and 23-25 are pending in this application. The Office Action, on page 2, rejects claims 1 and 2 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Application Publication No. U.S. 2004/0032744 to Nishizawa et al. (hereinafter "Nishizawa") in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,440,456 to Bertling et al. (hereinafter "Bertling"). The Office Action, on page 3, rejects claim 3 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Nishizawa in view of Bertling, in further view of JP A-2000-028887 to Inaba (hereinafter "Inaba"). Additionally, on page 4, the Office Action rejects claims 4-14 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Nishizawa in view of Bertling, in further view of U.S.

Patent No. 6,161,953 to Chouji et al. (hereinafter "Chouji"). Applicant respectfully traverses these rejections.

The Office Action, on page 6, asserts that an accurate English-language translation of Priority Document JP-A-2003-145108 was not received by the Patent Office. The priority document was submitted, July 21, 2006, in compliance with MPEP §201.13, to overcome the applied prior art reference of U.S. Patent Publication No. U.S. 2004/0032744, as stated in the Amendment filed May 25, 2006, well prior to the August 14 mail date of this Office Action.

A copy of the PTO filing receipt is attached. Applicant confirmed that the translation of the Priority document, along with the statement that the translation is accurate, are posted on the PTO's PAIR website.

Accordingly, reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections of claims 1-14 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over any combination of Nishizawa with Bertling, Inaba and/or Chouji, are respectfully requested.

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that this application is in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration and prompt allowance of claims 1-19 and 23-25 are earnestly solicited.